OXONIUM SALT INTERMEDIATES FROM HALF ESTER ACID CHLORIDES

M. Vishnumurthi Rao, Shaker H.El. Ashry and M. Vivekananda Bhatt*
Department of Organic Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science
Bangalore-560012, INDIA.

Summary:- Isomeric half ester acid chlorides derived from 1,2-and 1-3-carboxylic acids give rise to the same oxonium salt with Lewis acids.

The use of half ester acid chlorides derived from unsymmetrical 1,2-and 1,3-carboxylic acids in synthesis has been severely limited by occurrence of rearrangements and formation of unexpected products 1-6. A number of previous reports 4,7,8 and a publication from this laboratory had drawn attention to the complications arising because of the participation of the neighbouring alkoxy carbonyl group. The nature and consequences of the carbonyl group participation has been a matter of considerable amount of speculation and discussion 7,8.

We have shown⁹ that participation during solvolysis of half ester acid chlorides need not necessarily lead to isomerisation of the half ester acid chlorides themselves or to the formation of a common intermediate.

In the present communication we present evidence for the formation of exemium type intermediates by the reaction of half ester acid chlorides with some of the Lewis acids (Scheme 1). Table 1 contains the n.m.r. spectral data of the exemium salt intermediates derived from two pairs of isomeric half ester acid chlorides (1-4). It can be seen that the isomeric pair which have different chemical shifts for ester methyl protons give identical n.m.r. spectra upon treatment with Lewis acids, thus providing evidence for the formation of a common exemium salt intermediate. This pattern is found in the case of AlCl₃, TiCl₄ and SnCl₄, but not in the case of the weaker Lewis acid ZnCl₂. The poor Lewis acidity of ZnCl₂ is well documented and is further corroborated by our own independent experiments. The magnitude of the shift of the methyl protons depends upon the relative strengths of the Lewis acid.

The 13 C n.m.r. spectrum of the complex obtained from AlCl₃ and methyl hydrogen phthalate gives only three sets of signals for the aromatic carbons (140.68 δ , 130.51 δ , and 128.90 δ) and a single signal for both the carbonyl carbons (167.96 δ) and one signal for the methyl carbon (53.72 δ). The data is consistent only with the structure suggested for the exonium salt and does not agree with the alternate formulations. Variable temperature spectra of complexes of AlCl₃ and 1 did not show any detectable variation further ruling out the alternate formulations (Scheme 2)

It is pertinent to mention that CH3Cl-AlCl3 complex has a chemical shift much different from the one observed for the exemium salts. Moreover the signal of CH3Cl-AlCl3 complex does not shift in the presence of phthalic anhydride. This clearly rules out the possibility of the observed values being derived from methyl tetrachloroaluminium ion pair.

1.
$$X = NO_2$$
 $R_1 = OCH_3$ $R_2 = C1$ 3. $X = C1$ $R_1 = OCH_3$ $R_2 = C1$ 2. $X = NO_2$ $R_1 = C1$ $R_2 = OCH_3$ 4. $X = C1$ $R_1 = C1$ $R_2 = OCH_3$

$$R_1$$
 R_2
 R_2
 R_2
 R_2
 R_3
 R_2
 R_3
 R_4
 R_2
 R_3
 R_4
 R_2
 R_3
 R_4
 R_5
 R_5
 R_5
 R_5
 R_6
 R_7
 R_8
 R_8

Scheme 1

Table 1*

Ch	emical shifts of	the ester	meruar s:	rewar (Tut A	et nes)
Compound	Uncomplexed methyl signal	TIGE 3	TiCl ₄	SnCl ₄	ZnCl ₂
1	4.09	4.60	4.40	4.13	4.09
2	4.01	4.60	4.40	4.13	4.01
3	4.00	4.60	4.40	4.10	4.00
4	3.90	4.60	4.40	4.10 0.018	3.90

References:

- 1. V. Prelog and S. Heimbach-Jushasz, Ber. 74, 1702 (1941).
- 2. F.F. Blicke and E.R. Castro, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 63, 2437 (1941).
- 3. D.H. Hey and E.W. Walker, J. Chem. Soc., 2214 (1948).
- 4. B.H. Chase and D.H. Hey, J. Chem. Soc., 553 (1952).
- 5. R. Goncalves and E.V. Brown, J. Org. Chem. 19, 4 (1954).
- 6. J. Cason and R.D. Smith, J. Org. Chem. 18, 1201 (1953).
- 7. P.R. Jones, Chem. Rev. 63, 461 (1963).
- D.V. Banthorpe and B.V. Smith in "The Chemistry of Acyl halides" S.Patai Ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1972) p.753.
- 9. M. Vishnumurthi Rao, V. Somayaji and M. Vivekananda Bhatt, Ind. J. Chem. 18B, 532 (1979).
- 10. D.P.N. Satchell and R.S. Satchell, Chem. Rev., 69, 251 (1969).
- 11. M. Vivekananda Bhatt and M. Vishnumurthi Rao, Under preparation.
 (Received in UK 27 October 1980)